

ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300
Adelaide 5067
Australia
Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online
ISSN 1440-9828



January 2011 No 542

WHY WON'T THE WORLD LET HIM REST?

DNA tests reveal 'Hitler was descended from the Jews and Africans he hated'

By [Allan Hall](#), *Daily Mail*, 23rd August 2010



Revealing: The DNA tests on relatives of Nazi leader Adolf Hitler show he was probably descended from Jewish people and North Africans

Adolf Hitler is likely to have been descended from both Jews and Africans, according to DNA tests. Samples taken from relatives of the Nazi leader show that he is biologically linked to the 'sub-human' races he sought to exterminate.

Journalist Jean-Paul Mulders and historian Marc Vermeeren used DNA to track down 39 of the Fuhrer's relatives earlier this year.

They included an Austrian farmer revealed only as a cousin called Norbert H.

A Belgian news magazine has reported that samples of saliva taken from these people strongly suggest Hitler had antecedents he certainly would not have cared for.

A chromosome called Haplogroup E1b1b (Y-DNA) in their samples is rare in Germany and indeed Western Europe.

'It is most commonly found in the Berbers of Morocco, in Algeria, Libya and Tunisia as well as among Ashkenazi and Sephardic Jews,' Mr Vermeeren said.

'One can from this postulate that Hitler was related to people whom he despised,' adds Mr Mulders in the magazine, *Knack*.

Haplogroup E1b1b1, which accounts for approximately 18 to 20 per cent of Ashkenazi and 8.6 per cent to 30 per cent of Sephardic Y-chromosomes, appears to be one of the major founding lineages of the Jewish population.

'This is a surprising result,' said Ronny Decorte, a genetic specialist who agreed that Hitler probably did have some roots in North Africa.



Roots: Hitler's DNA was found to contain Haplogroup E1b1b, commonly found in the Berbers of Morocco (left) - and also accounts for approximately 18 to 20 per cent of the Y-chromosomes of Sephardic Jews (right) who hail from Morocco, Spain and Portugal)

'It is difficult to predict, what happens with this information, both to opponents and supporters of Hitler,' he added.

The magazine says the DNA was tested under stringent laboratory conditions to obtain the results.

It is not the first time that historians have suggested Hitler had Jewish ancestry.

His father, Alois, is thought to have been the illegitimate offspring of a maid called Maria Schickelgruber and a 19-year-old Jewish man called Frankenberger.

This would have made the man who inspired the Holocaust one-quarter Jewish.



Hidden secrets: The Waldviertel region in Austria where Hitler's cousin, farmer Norbert H, was found



The Auschwitz-Birkenau camp in Poland where Hitler's extermination programme of the Jews was carried out.

DNA on a serviette was taken from Alexander Stuart-Houston (now aged 61), a grand-nephew of Hitler. Reports have suggested that Hitler's nephew, Patrick, tried to blackmail his uncle over the issue of Alois Hitler's parentage. Hitler asked his lawyer, Hans Frank, to investigate the claims and he announced just before the outbreak of the Second World War that they were 'without any foundation'.

'Hitler would not have been pleased about this,' added Mr Decorte, of the Catholic University of Leuven.

'The affair is fascinating if one compares it with the conception of the world of the Nazis, in which race and blood was central.

'Hitler's concern over his descent was not unjustified. He was apparently not "pure" or "Aryan".'

DNA was also taken from American Alexander Stuart-Houston, 61, a grand-nephew of Hitler.



He was trailed for seven days before he dropped a used serviette which Mulders said led him to the cousin in Austria - and the link with Hitler's sworn enemies.

Comments

Here's what readers have had to say so far. Why not add your thoughts below, [or debate this issue live on our message boards.](#)

Even articles about a monster like Hitler, should be kept accurate. Firstly, Berbers are not black Africans, they resemble Arabs, and are genetically closer to Europeans than sub-Saharan Africans. Secondly, the only important fact about Hitler is that he unleashed a war that killed 40 million Europeans. End of story!!!

- Dubai, Michael, 23/8/2010 16:16

*

I find it abhorrent that Alexander Stuart-Houston was followed around for a week unbeknownst to him and his DNA taken secretly in order to gain information that is of no benefit to anyone. This is a gross invasion of that man's privacy, he had no input into Hitler's actions and he shouldn't be targetted because of his connection.

- Rose, Ireland, 23/8/2010 16:15

www.dailymail.co.uk/news/worldnews/article-1305414/Hitler-descended-Jews-Africans-hated-claim-DNA-tests-relatives.html

© Associated Newspapers Ltd

Amelia Aremia: More study needed

More articles concerning Hitler and the Jews are needed, but the controversy over Hitler's bloodline seems like a wholly superfluous dispute. These well-meaning, well-motivated persons seem to waste too much time probing in the wrong direction- this only widens the split among the revisionists.

The amount of time given to all the stupid drivel by talk shows should be equal time given to bring out the accelerated progress of world domination. If only a few rich who see part of the action, would get together and buy up newspapers, or a TV station, a radio station, would make great progress to put down the propaganda lies being fed to the vast majority. Yes, a TV outlet could turn the tide. If you could get the opportunity to speak. Your talk show host in Lafayette, Farakahan, and a few others, (Ted Pike-Dudely Smith, etc,) should be approached to give you more time on their outlets, or space to write a column. (The naive rich may think their money will protect them....but it's they who much protect their own wealth.)

It is plain to see that many don't care, the majority is apathetic, and only a few show any interest, Meanwhile humanism is taking over because one simply cannot interpret events or have a clear idea of the future unless one accepts our "leaders" are betraying the conspiracy theory and those us. A tightly closed mind never gets the true message; these people believe they are softhearted and humanitarian. That great middle class cannot see clearly what is being done. The more I observe the middle class—including the young, middle-aged and the old, the less hope I have that anything can be done to save freedom.

The Zionists, the Holocaust believers do not flinch from torture and mass murder...even if the 6 million number would be correct, (but care must be taken not to perpetuate the myth that Hitler killed 6 million) Stalin murdered over 17 million people and Mao Tse-tung killed at least twice that number, making Hitler look like a Boy Scout. The Zionists know well how to use "emotion-charged words" and "linguistic chicanery," especially in terms such as racism, anti-Semitism, genocide, hatred and hate. Political killings are not genocide, but "causing mental anguish to ethnic minorities" is.

War is a favorite instrument with them also. They destroyed millions of white non-jews fighting their wars, wrecking existing social order to promote their agenda while making millions of dollars in profits. In a way, we are paying for our blind stupidity and materialism. I do not see how we can possibly turn back the evil tide engulfing the world. There are too many "wars of national liberation" brewing. They pledge unquestioning loyalty to the secret Jews infiltrating national governments and religion, especially the Vatican with the Marranos...Splitting and factionalism is another favorite tactic they use. They infiltrate groups and use ambitious dupes to cause dissension. How utterly naïve even brilliant people can be. The pro-Americans have been fragmented. If people refuse to act because it is "helpless" we are indeed lost.

Many facts of history are blacked out. The Inquisition killed fewer people in 100 years than the Protestants of Germany killed in 15 years. The Maranos that infiltrated the Jesuit order killed more Jews who opposed the Levite rabbis. How nice, "Jews" kill Jews and Christians, and blame it on the Church. The Catholic Church is doomed because Marranos and Marxists infiltrate it. Today's "Jews" are not the Israelites of old. Nor are the "Jews" God's chosen people. The Israelites are, and they are now those who follow the new covenant of Jesus Christ. The murdering Israelis should not be confused with the Israelites.

There are three great books written in the past that are still timely: The Lusitanian, T'was A Famous Victory, and To Eliminate the Opiate...The History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire teaches us a lesson. The conditions he describes in Rome in the 5th century before Rome fell are very similar to the conditions we see today. The Khazars, who want to enslave the goyim, are using TV to create the same bread and circuses atmosphere that existed in Rome before it fell. And the same people promote the homosexual network.

Mike: It's absurd

The whole Hitler thing has been so muddled its hard for people to find the truth, but many of us have

made it our goal to get an understanding about the world we live in, and try show others the truth of what's going on. It's frustrating to see people think they are free, when they are clearly not.

Jews control people 4 ways, mentally, physically, spiritually and financially. Most people are locked solid in 3 of them. They will soon start to clamp down on the physical side of things, especially when there is another market crash, or global war.

The good thing is their lies are catching up with them at mega speed due to the net. They are losing control of the spell of their media, people are not buying the bullshit anymore like they used to. It's good to watch it happen, and be involved in the process! Words and truth shatter them, and they can't stop it now. They know the words and truth will turn into ACTION one day.

Carlos Porter: Re-consider the fairy tale

I have never understood the logic of this argument, that if Hitler was a Jew, his objections to the Jews have no value. On the contrary, they would be more valuable than ever. If he were really a Jew, they wouldn't say, "he was a Jew", they would call him a "self-hating Jew". So logically, whether you're a Jew or not, nothing critical you can possibly say about Jews can ever have the slightest value --- but they never say why. Karl Marx said "Money is their God". Is it the truth or isn't it? After all, it takes one to know one. So how is Hitler's alleged Jewishness relevant to the truth or falsehood of what he said?

Most of these fairy tales are based on a book written by Hans Frank while he was waiting to be hanged at Nuremberg. Frank was a rather noisy Catholic and it appears that he wanted the so-called Hoaxoco\$t to be blamed on a renegade Jew, rather than a renegade or non-practicing Catholic. Anyway everything he says is ridiculous. Maser takes a wrecking ball to hundreds of these lies.

See also www.cwporter.com/letter41.htm about 2/3 of the way down, with pic.



Enduring Freedom?

Why won't they let him rest?

Fredrick Töben, 25 August 2010

1. Preliminary Remarks

On 5 October 2009 the U.K. based Guardian newspaper ran an article: "Ahmadinejad has no Jewish roots. Rumours that Mahmoud Ahmadinejad's family converted to Islam from Judaism are false. In fact, they are proud Shias...".

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/commentisfree/2009/oct/05/mahmoud-ahmadinejad-jewish-family>

Readers of *The Barnes Review* will be quite familiar with this kind of false propaganda that comes from the global Jewish media whenever an individual appears on the world stage who has as yet openly not paid unconditional tribute to Jewish power, or who refuses uncritically to believe in matters 'Holocaust', or who openly dares to oppose the two-state solution to the Middle East.

Such media focus on individuals who stand in the public limelight is the essence of what journalism is all about. It is an information-gathering process that all too often ends in a media feeding frenzy whose intellectual underpinnings rest on Talmud-Marxist-Feminist-Left dialectic thinking.

It is, in effect, media bullying of dissenting voices, of trying to silence those individuals who dare to think things through without fear or favour. Sometimes there are attempts to bring a veneer of balance into the controversy – as if such matters can ever be balanced out! – by some horror story involving 'Nazis', the 'Far Right', or the progenitor himself, The Führer Adolf Hitler. Truth as a moral virtue hardly gets a mention or consideration because the judgment that Hitler and everything associated with him is absolute evil, still stands among those who are currently at the levers of world power.

But we know from history that nothing is permanent, that nothing in human affairs is absolute, except that which we create within our own minds, and except for the truism that no person leaves this world alive.

Just recently one of Australia's most consistent Revisionist email service providers, Joseph Schwanzer, sent me an article that I in turn sent through my list with the heading: 'Why won't they let him rest?' Of course, 'him' refers to Adolf Hitler, and I usually answer this question by referring to the following YouTube clip:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OnGAqS8GzYg>

In his own message Schwanzer used the UK *Daily Mail's* newspaper's heading: '**Hitler 'descended from the Jews and Africans he hated' claim after DNA tests on relatives**'.

1. My reaction to such matters where Adolf Hitler features large is a big yawn. Whenever I read articles that defame Adolf Hitler I immediately think about the reason why the propaganda machine will not let him rest. There is also the realization that authors of such articles have once again been scraping the barrel of

World War Two propaganda because, obviously, there is nothing else of substance that could effectively lend support to the furthering of the post-World War Two New World Order-NWO.

2. Also, immediately my mind focuses on this dilemma faced by those in whose interest it is to continue the establishing of the NWO whose embryonic beginnings were firmly solidified by the judicial executions enacted by the Nuremberg War Crimes Tribunal during 18 October 1945 - 16 October 1946.

3. The attempt to re-set this fading post World War Two dialectic was attempted on 9 September 2001 when the Talmudic-Marxist-Feminist death dialectic of win-lose was set, thereby replacing the older and worn-out Cold War dialectic between capitalism and communism, which officially ended with the dismantling of the Soviet Union. The recent desperate attempt to establish global warming/climate change as a carbon-trading/tax-gathering ideology failed, thereby not offering a new mental home for the internationalists, who to date cohabited in the musty Marxist-Feminist ideological shroud, from which they continue to lash out at the nationalists who openly oppose their politics.

4. Guantanamo Bay Prison, which began receiving prisoners in 1991 filled up after the 9:11 tragedy with alleged terrorist - <http://hnn.us/articles/11000.html>. Fortunately for Americans numerous legal challenges reduced the prison to one of legal controversy. Had the US Supreme Court condoned the holding of prisoners as constitutional, then legally this would have further set in legal concrete any decisions that flowed from Nuremberg's War Crimes Tribunal 65 years ago.

5. Germans, who still want to be Germans and who yearn to have the German Reich re-activated, can breathe easy now. Had the US Supreme Court legally sanctioned Guantanamo Bay's prison activity, then to undo the Nuremberg Tribunal's perverse judgments of 1945-46 would have become almost an impossibility. Now they will be next in line to be dismantled, after the Holocaust dogma and ideology crashes into the 'dustbin of history', which inevitably will happen – but when and if in my time, that's difficult for me to determine.

6. I say this because according to Arthur Schopenhauer's analysis truth emerges in three steps: we have passed the ridicule stage, and now are approaching the demise of the vicious legal prosecution stage, which will then be followed by the emergence of the truth about the Holocaust lie emerging as an obvious matter of fact. When they read about our battles in our books our descendants will wonder what this intellectual battle was all about.

7. A friend of mine reminds me that it is difficult to understand the logic of this argument, i.e. if Hitler was a Jew, would his objections to the Jews have no value?

On the contrary, they would be more valuable than ever. If he were really a Jew, they wouldn't say, 'he was a Jew' but they would call him a 'self-hating Jew'. So logically, whether you're a Jew or not, nothing critical you can possibly say about Jews can ever have the slightest value – but they never say why. Karl Marx said 'Money is their God'. Is it the truth or isn't it? After all, it takes one to know one. So how is Hitler's alleged Jewishness relevant to the truth or falsehood of what he said?

8. In any case most of these fairy tales about Adolf Hitler are based on a book written by Hans Frank – ***Im Angesicht des Galgens. Deutung Hitlers und seiner Zeit auf Grund eigener Erlebnisse und Erkenntnisse. Geschrieben im Nürnberger Justizgefängnis***, München-Gräefeling 1953 – while he was waiting to be hanged at Nuremberg. Frank was a rather noisy Catholic and it appears that he wanted the so-called Holocaust to be blamed on a renegade Jew, rather than on a renegade or non-practicing Catholic. Anyway everything he says is ridiculous. The eminent German historian Werner Maser takes a wrecking ball to hundreds of these lies in his book: ***Adolf Hitler. Legende, Mythos, Wirklichkeit***, München/Esslingen 1972. The other book that is usually negatively referenced in this context is Hennecke Kardel's book: ***Adolf Hitler-Begründer Israels/Adolf Hitler-The Founder of Israel***, Geneva, 1974. Kardell's many assertions about Hitler's personal life remain unsourced and fanciful.

2. 'Telling Lies about Hitler'

This is the title of a book by historian and polemicist Richard Evans, wherein he recounts the London 2000 David Irving v Deborah Lipstadt trial, is still available at Amazon for \$15.25 new and used for \$3.31. <http://www.amazon.com/Telling-Lies-About-Hitler-Holocaust/dp/1859844170>

The brief Amazon review, below, sets the scene into which I shall venture when commenting on the latest written item about Adolf Hitler.

"In April 2000 a High Court judge branded the writer David Irving a racist, an antisemite, a Holocaust denier, and a falsifier of history. The key expert witness against Irving was the Cambridge historian Richard J. Evans who describes here, in a book which several publishers have been intimidated to withdrawing, his involvement in the case. Recounting his discovery of Irving's connections with far right Holocaust deniers in the United States and of how Irving falsified the documentary evidence on the Second World War, Evans reflects generally and eloquently on the interaction of historical and legal rules of evidence. Evans argues that the Irving trial does for the twenty-first century what the Eichmann trial did for the second half of the twentieth. It vindicates history's ability to come to reasoned conclusions on the basis of a careful examination of

the evidence, even when eyewitnesses and survivors are no longer around to tell the tale."

Four review comments of Evans' book follow:

1. "An astonishing brief of the historical profession against a fallen practitioner. Evans exhibits a vivid intelligence, clear writing and a bright animating rage." Mark Grief, *Times Literary Supplement*

2. "The evidence marshalled by Evans against Irving is devastating." Ian Buruma, *The New Yorker*

3. "The tingle of intellectual discovery runs through Evans' methodical demolition of Irving's work ... A classic example of historical research as detective story." Charles Taylor, *Salon.com*

4. "Evans is a flat, dull, boring, venal, corrupt conformist who willingly sold his soul to the Devil." *David Irving*

I recall that when all this happened ten years ago I remarked on Evans' team of researchers that were pitted against almost-solo David Irving, it is Irving's 30-plus books that are worthy of a dozen Ph D theses while Evan's book is a flop.

Interestingly, when Evans offered his 'expertise' in the Dr Joel Hayward scandal that, also in 2000, was gripping Canterbury University in Christchurch, New Zealand, the commission rejected Evans' expertise <http://www.canterbury.ac.nz/hayward/> and

As Dr Thomas Fudge wrote in an article published in the university's History Department journal, *HISTORY NOW*, Volume 9, No. 2, May 2003, pages 12-21: 2002, which was later destroyed:

"Notwithstanding the Working Party's remarks on Evans's intemperate language and lack of objectivity, he appeared both to the Jewish Council and the Working Party as a witness otherwise above reproach. Evans is an excellent scholar but published reviews of his own books accuse him of sometimes "going over the top in ways that are unnecessary to his argument"; of engaging in "gratuitous speculation"; in certain cases of not having "studied the primary sources ... under discussion"; elsewhere that he often misses the point, resorts to intemperate attack and is often confused.[64] In other words, in the opinion of some of his peers even Richard Evans violates many of the sound principles of historical method that he considered defectively employed in Hayward's thesis." <http://www.joelhayward.com/thehistorynowarticle.htm>

3. 'Unsettled' Historical Narrative

Why is it that this World War Two period is still so contentious and which produces a frenzy of legal activity that then consumes those who refuse to accept the official Holocaust-Shoah narrative? No wonder that in 1996 the President of the Islamic Republic of Iran, Dr Mahmoud Ahamdinejad, caused a storm among 'Holocaust believers' when he asked a simple question: If the 'Holocaust' is an historical event, then why, like any other historical event, is it not permitted to ask questions and to review the evidence surrounding this historical event? His 2006 Teheran International

Holocaust Conference was viewed as an academic scandal within western democracies.

A year later, on 27 September 2007, Lee Bollinger, the President of Columbia University, invited the Iranian President to address his university, but then insulted him in his introductory remarks. No nation has ever seen a host extend to his guest such an act of vulgar and infantile behaviour.

Turkey has criminalized the contesting of the 'Armenian Massacre' and a number of 'free and democratic European countries' has criminalized the contesting of the 'Holocaust-Shoah'. Such legal censorship is scandalous in countries where anything is up for grabs, where anything can be denied, even the existence of God.

In fact, in his 2009-published book *The Greatest Show on Earth: the Evidence for Evolution*, leading world atheist, Richard Dawkins, likens anyone who refuses to believe in Evolution to so-called 'Holocaust deniers', which makes him to be a believer in the 'Holocaust' dogma/ideology.

http://books.google.com.au/books?id=U8AFxmc76rcC&dq=Richard+Dawkins&source=bl&ots=nzs0DLq57p&sig=bc8ozfvLjbEOjBh6aaBNGGH_wXs&hl=en&ei=EbhztJy5HaPgugPR2vR2&sa=X&oi=book_result&ct=result&resnum=5&ved=0CDqQ6AEwBA

All historical events are reviewed from time to time, especially as secret archives are de-classified. Of interest here is that when the Soviet Union disintegrated in 1989, a group of researchers specialising in matters Holocaust trawled through these once closed archives to prove their gassing claims – without success. To date this claim remains just a claim, but numerous countries have criminalized doubting or 'contesting' the Holocaust-Shoah narrative, as is well known to readers of TBR.

4. 'DNA tests reveal 'Hitler was descended from the Jews and Africans he hated'

When I sent the item through my email list I received an immediate and severe response from Revisionist Carlos Porter carloswporter@yahoo.es: 'Whaddya bet this will all be forgotten in a few months, just like the stories he was "gay", and all the rest of it. The Jewish grandfather story is the purest horseshit. Following a guy for a week for him to throw away a used snot rag, my ass. Why not toilet paper?'

Another response: 'What utter nonsense, he will be remembered in time to come, as one of the great leaders. I am enclosing a web address about the Sudetenland (Hohenfurth) which may interest you.'

And still another response stated: 'There is a link between the authors of this article/'research' and the priest/male model –and between thousands if not millions of other self-promoters.

Instinctively, I always distrust anything originating in Belgium. Having spent 13 months of my life there, I

am aware of the hatred and corruption that pervades that country from top to bottom, due to its incurable linguistic and cultural divisions. It is most likely that the authors of this 'discovery' have seized on a sensational subject (maybe even with an incentive and funding from certain quarters) merely as a means of making themselves known. The assertions of this article belong in the same category as the ludicrous fictions of the film 'Inglorious Basterds', which I would not reduce myself to see. They serve the same purpose.

The purpose is of course to build on the myth they have already created of the period and to blacken the man further. This endeavour has every chance of succeeding, the further the truth recedes into history and the more the muddled beliefs of re-educated generations gain credence.

The worse the man can be contrived to have been, the more likely it becomes that his actions were perverse and that the usual lot were helpless victims of his wicked policies and his purely personal vendetta. How else could it be explained that he took such a dislike to Jews?

My own belief is that the emphasis on race and blood was not his priority, but more a convenient, esoteric doctrine for extremists, perhaps supported by Himmler. Adolf Hitler's own beliefs were straightforward and expressed repeatedly in his writings and speeches. There was no mystery in his reasoning. It does not at this late date need elucidation by individuals, chosen for their malleability and their crude ambition.'

5. My Response to Allan Hall's article

Hall informs that journalist Jean-Paul Mulders and historian Marc Vermeeren used DNA in their attempt to track down 39 of the Führer's relatives earlier this year. This is interesting because in 2009 Mulders published in Herbig Verlag, München, his book: **Auf der Suche nach Hitlers Sohn: Eine Beweisaufnahme**

http://www.amazon.de/productreviews/3776625953/ref=dp_top_cm_cr_acr_txt?ie=UTF8&showViewpoints=1.

In this book Mulders concludes that evidence from DNA probes indicates Hitler did not have a son in France, nor did he have a Jewish grandfather. By the way, on this research trip to find Hitler's son Mulders was also accompanied by Marc Vermeeren.

I then go to the article itself as published on 18 August 2010 in the Belgian magazine **Knack**, where in the science section I find at:

<http://knack.rnews.be/nl/actualiteit/nieuws/wetenschap/hitler-was-verwant-met-somaliërs-berbers-en-joden/article-1194797075630.htm>

Hitler was verwant met Somaliërs, Berbers en Joden

Knack onthult deze week de genetische afstamming van Adolf Hitler.



Hitler of Berber? © Reuters

>>Door het DNA van familieleden van de Führer te analyseren, kon journalist Jean-Paul Mulders de zogeheten 'haplogroep' van Hitler bepalen. Die geeft prijs waar Hitlers voorvaders vandaan kwamen en met wie hij verwant is. Opzienbarend is dat Hitler tot de haplogroep E1b1b blijkt te behoren, die weinig courant is in Duitsland en West-Europa. Deze 'genetische vingerafdruk' komt veel vaker voor in het zuiden: bij 25 procent van de Grieken en Sicilianen, en bij maar liefst 50 à 80 procent van de Noord-Afrikanen. Haplogroep E1b1b komt het frequentst voor bij de Berbers en daarnaast ook in Somalië (> 80%). Opvallender nog is dat de haplogroep van Hitler de tweede vaakst voorkomende haplogroep is bij de Asjkenazische Joden.<<

Mulders and Vermeeren claim that the Hitler DNA 'fingerprint' rarely occurs in Germans and West Europeans but occurs in 25 per cent in Greeks and Sicilians and over 50 to 80 per cent in North Africans, Berbers and Somalians, and in Ashkenazi Jews.

The article ends with something not mentioned by Hall in his article: So that the 65-year-old speculations about the Führer can be put to rest Mulders has asked Russian officials for permission to look at Hitler's alleged jawbone resting in a Moscow archive.

>>In archieven in Moskou wordt nog een kaakbeen van Hitler bewaard, net als een bebloede lap stof van de sofa waarop hij op 30 april 1945 zelfmoord zou hebben gepleegd. Knack heeft nu aan de Russische overheid toestemming gevraagd om die resten te analyseren. Op die manier zou voorgoed een einde kunnen worden gesteld aan speculaties die al 65 jaar over de dood van de Führer circuleren.<<

That's a new one for me – I didn't know such an exhibit existed. But I say this advisedly because on numerous occasions I have confessed that my knowledge of things Hitler is limited. I still have not

read *Mein Kampf* or *The Protocols of the Elders of Zion* – although at university I did study Machiavelli's *The Prince*, which I see as a precursor to the Protocols.

Hall augments the Mulder/Vermeeren article by adding the old story about Hitler's family background, which enables him directly to pay tribute to Jewish interests by raising matters HOLOCAUST:

>>His father, Alois, is thought to have been the illegitimate offspring of a maid called Maria Schickelgruber and a 19-year-old Jewish man called Frankenberger. This would have made the man who inspired the Holocaust one-quarter Jewish.<<

German historians Werner Maser and Dr Claus Nordbruch refute such nonsense, the latter in his exhaustive article in *Legenden um Adolf Hitler »Die jüdische Abstammung«*, in Dr. Rolf Kosiek (Hg.), *Der Große Wendig IV*, Tübingen 2010.

Hall's writing formula is similarly designed as that method used by the Iranian cartoonist who developed a whole series of satirical Holocaust cartoons – it is designed to debunk the contents under consideration. YouTube has demonstrably taken down its postings of the Holocaust cartoons clip but the following link may still offer a free download:

http://www.4shared.com/video/TbMID-eI/HoloCartoons_neu.html

That Hall then continues with further contextualising is interesting. He even talks about Auschwitz and presents the iconic photo of the >>**Auschwitz-Birkenau camp in Poland where Hitler's extermination programme of the Jews was carried out**<<.

Revisionist researchers have moved beyond those gates, as TBR readers well know. Even the Holocaust believers in the know have admitted that there were no gassings at the Auschwitz-Birkenau concentration camp. In 1996 Robert Jan van Pelt and Deborah Dwork in their book: *Auschwitz: From 1270 to the present*, acknowledged at pages 363-64 that Krema I, Auschwitz-Stammlager, was made to look like the homicidal gas chamber at Auschwitz-Birkenau. In 2001 Gitta Sereny admitted that Auschwitz was not an 'extermination camp'.

Then in May 2002 Fritjof Meyer in *Osteuropa* stated that no gassings occurred at Auschwitz-Birkenau, but that these were conducted in two farm houses outside of the parameters of the camp, the so-called Bunker I and Bunker II buildings.

<http://www.vho.org/GB/c/Meyer.html>,

If we take the argument offered by Ronny Decorte, ronny.decorte@med.kuleuven.be professor of Forensic Genetics and Molecular Archeology at the Catholic University of Leuven to its logical conclusion, then Adolf Hitler was an 'African Jew'. I sent an email to Professor Decorte proposing this hypothesis but never received a reply.


The actual hypothesis would fit the 'Out of Africa' hypothesis that in private correspondence Charles Darwin and Karl Marx agreed upon, and which has

since the 1980s been corroborated through DND and genetic testing.

But now we are at the stage of wondering where all this thinking – from Adolf Hitler's DNA and his ancestral roots somewhere in northern Africa – is leading to. It is leading up an unproductive garden path into the stench-filled shed of war-time propaganda where every conceivable ugliness is nurtured by that death dialectic mindset of win-lose.

6. Wartime Propaganda

In his article Dr Nordbruch relies on David Walker's 8 February 2002 *Guardian* article 'Hitler's 'Jewish' Passport' that revealed 'wartime British intelligence had a special operation designed to undermine Hitler's authority. They even produced a Passport that gave him a Jewish identity and contained a visa':



"On the inside pages of Hitler's passport, a faked visa pass has also been stamped on behalf of the department of migration for the government of Palestine. The visa states that Hitler is "permitted to remain permanently in Palestine as an immigrant", is dated the July 19, 1941, and signed by the inspector of migration."

<http://www.guardian.co.uk/uk/2002/feb/08/research.freedomofinformation>

7. Conclusion

We have reached the pinnacle of deceit and obfuscations that aim to smear – and this after 65 years of relentless hatred.

Here was a man who dared stand up to international finance and who rejected the political left-right divide and railed against class thinking by celebrating physical work, then endeavouring to lift up and develop an individual's and a people's freedom potential.

This was the opposite to what Marxism was all about where the driving motor was class thinking that enslaved an individual within a conceptual prison made up of deficiency thinking characterised by envy and hatred of those who celebrated the universal values of individuality, truth and beauty.

More articles concerning Hitler are needed but the controversy over Hitler's bloodline seems like a wholly superfluous dispute. These well-meaning, well-motivated persons seem to waste too much time probing in the wrong direction.

There are two books that are still timely: Colin Simpson's 1990, *The Lusitania* and Benjamin Colby's 1975, *T'was A Famous Victory: Deceptions and Propaganda in the War with Germany*.

The Zionists and the Holocaust believers do not flinch from torture and mass murder as we witness in Palestine today, and they know well how to use "emotion-charged words" and "linguistic chicanery," especially in terms such as racism, anti-Semitism, genocide, hater, and now the latest since 2001 terrorist.

War is a favorite instrument with them also. They destroyed millions of white non-Jews fighting their wars, wrecking existing social order to promote their agenda while making millions of dollars in profits. In a way, we are paying for our blind stupidity and materialism. They pledge unquestioning loyalty to the secret Jews infiltrating national governments and religion, especially the Vatican with the Marranos...Splitting and factionalism is another favorite tactic they use. They infiltrate groups and use ambitious dupes to cause dissention. How utterly naïve even brilliant people can be. The pro-Americans have been fragmented. If people refuse to act because it is "helpless" we are indeed lost, and still I maintain: 'Don't blame the Jews but blame those that bend to their pressure.' Such is the eternal battle-of-the-wills driving humanity's inexorable quest from generation to generation.

I do not resist the temptation to close this article with Macbeth's words: "Life's but a walking shadow, a poor player who frets his hour upon the stage and then is heard no more. It is a tale told by an idiot, full of sound and fury, signifying nothing!"